

ZB Zaner-Bloser

WHY WRITING
WORKSHOPS
WORK

.....
Instilling a Sense of Community
and Confidence in Young Writers

SAPERSTEIN
ASSOCIATES

About Saperstein Associates

Saperstein Associates is a research firm based in Columbus, Ohio. Using time-honored and innovative research methodologies, its veteran staff transforms data into information, and information into intelligence.

Saperstein Associates provides clients with a valuable tool for making data-driven decisions. To learn more, visit sapersteinassociates.com.

Why Do Writing Workshops Work?

The writing workshop approach allows students autonomy and choice while also providing a structure for meeting specific writing goals. It places students' voices at the center of writing instruction. In a writing workshop classroom, students are *writing*, not passively listening to a teacher talk about writing. Instruction centers on the students and their writing; teachers serve as guides and coaches. Students become successful writers because they write daily for extended periods of time on topics of their choice (Shubitz & Dorfman, 2019, 40–41). The daily practice of writing gives students the grounding idea that they *are* writers—there is no mysterious talent or gift they must have to begin (Elbow, 1973). They simply have to write.

Writing workshops offer a way to provide evidence-based writing instruction to students. An early study by Donald Graves (1983) demonstrated that students' writing improved significantly over three years of a workshop approach through what he called an “apprenticeship” model. Recently, the What Works Clearinghouse and Institute for Education Science reviewed the extensive research base for writing instruction and developed four overarching recommendations for educators who want to improve student writing in their classrooms: daily writing, a focus on the writing process, skills instruction within a process approach, and creating a community of writers (Fig. 1; Graham et al., 2012). Writing workshops fulfill each of these evidence-based recommendations and allow teachers to incorporate them into an effective, replicable classroom practice.

Figure 1. Writing workshops incorporate all aspects of evidence-based writing instruction (from Teaching Elementary School Students to Be Effective Writers practice guide).

Institute of Education Sciences Recommendation	How Writing Workshops Deliver
1. Provide daily time for students to write.	Most students do not receive daily writing time, according to a recent review of studies of writing classrooms (Graham, 2019). Writing workshops structure a daily, one-hour literacy block, with skills-based minilessons, mentor texts, independent writing, and daily sharing (Shubitz & Dorfman, 2019). In a workshop-based classroom, students are writing daily on subjects of their choice, with help and support from peers and teachers as they need it.
2. Teach students to use the writing process for a variety of purposes.	Writing workshops focus on student-centered choice and discovery for their topics within a process-based approach to writing (Flowers & Hays, 1981). Research shows that even very young students can learn the writing process through a workshop approach because they attend to and notice their own processes: what works for them when they write (Jasmine & Weiner, 2007). Students become independent and confident writers in the workshop approach as they each find their unique voice.
3. Teach students to become fluent with associated skills.	Minilessons in writing workshops provide both direct instruction in writing-related skills as well as a predictable opening to the daily workshop structure. They focus on one aspect of writing, such as revision strategies, sentence construction, and other skills, in a brief lesson (Jasmine & Weiner, 2007; Wagner, Nott, & Agnew, 2001). During independent writing, teachers also offer minilessons at the point an individual student needs them. In addition, mentor texts provide both ideas for writing as well as a model for voice and technique.
4. Create an engaged community of writers.	Fostering a community of writers is paramount in writing workshop-based instruction (Rosaen & Hazelwood, 1993; Shubitz & Dorfman, 2019). Unfortunately, typical writing experiences in the classroom involve little collaboration between students (De Smedt, Van Keer, & Merchie, 2016; Graham et al., 2012). Writing workshops help students become better writers not only by having them write daily but also by fostering in them the identity of “writer” within a community of writers.

enjoy writing. A study of young writers by Carroll and Feng demonstrates that “when students are given the freedom to write what they choose, they have a more positive attitude toward writing” (2010, 1). Dividing students in first grade into two groups—one given writing prompts and traditional instruction, the other provided structured writing workshops—they found that the workshop group enjoyed writing more than the prompted group. “Students are often writing works that matter to them, and that in turn *makes them feel like writers*” (Magalas & Ryan, 2016, 14, emphasis added). As another researcher puts it, “Taking a stance as writer help[s] children to think like writers” (Leigh, 2015).

In another study, Hachem, Nabhani, and Bahous (2008) found that the writing workshop structure “enhanced [second graders’] self-esteem and confidence in seeing themselves as writers” (331). They make the point that the writing workshop lets students demonstrate their improved skills, increasing their confidence in their abilities, and helps teachers individualize instruction by meeting students “where they are.” In a separate study, teachers and literacy coaches who received professional development on how to allow elementary students to have their own voices in writing workshops witnessed improved engagement: “over the course of the year, there were more diverse genres of writing posted and ‘celebrated’ in classrooms as well as increased student engagement during mini-lessons and writing time” (Kaiser, 2013, 219). Remarkably, students in the study produced 75% more writing than prior to the intervention. Writing workshops rely on deeply individualized attention and intervention, which nurtures students’ confidence at the same time as it allows their unique voices to flourish.

Writing Workshops Help Teachers Differentiate

Shea notes that “Writers in any classroom reflect a wide range of writing experience, competence, interests, genre preference, content and word knowledge, and immersion in exemplary models of literature. Such a range demands coming to know writers individually and setting lesson objectives based on that knowledge” (2015, 101). Research demonstrates

that the writing workshop approach is valuable for teachers because they can directly view children’s writing processes and struggles and create interventions to assist them. In fact, writing workshops may be the ideal context for differentiation. The structure of writing workshops allows teachers to easily target and individualize instruction in a predictable, structured way. Shubitz and Dorfman explain, “Both small-group instruction and conferring are based on the principles of differentiation... Small-group instruction makes it possible to differentiate while still providing highly individualized instruction in ways that will meet all students’ needs” (2019, 125).

• • • • •
Writing workshops may be the ideal context for differentiation.
• • • • •

Writing workshops by definition “encourage flexibility and differentiation in product, processes, content, and environment. Studying mentor texts together, playing and practicing with language, sharing and discussing, and revising are all elements of coaching, and are opportunities for the teacher” (Sharpe, 2010). The structure of writing workshops allows teachers to work with individual students or small groups on targeted skills based on the teacher’s ongoing assessment of their learning. “Differentiated instruction is effective when it’s based on ongoing and broad assessment of learners, when tasks are authentic, learners are engaged, there’s time to practice, and the classroom tone is supportive” (Shea, 2015). When students are writing, teachers are assessing their interests, motivation, performance, and processes—and intervening when necessary.

Strong research and anecdotal evidence shows that writing workshops can engage all students, of all abilities and skills, to give them more confidence in their writing. In one classroom study, writing workshops were used in K–6 English for Speakers of Other Languages classrooms (Peyton, Jones, Vincent, & Greenblatt, 1994). Teachers reported challenges with instituting the workshop model (mostly related to time, because they did not have a full day with students) but said that learning the writing workshop structure helped them to understand what their students needed and to provide support.

All of the teachers had positive changes to report in their students and in themselves. The most notable positive change in students is in attitude. Students are no longer afraid of writing or blocked by a blank sheet of paper. They feel more confident about themselves and their writing, and they enter aggressively into their own and others' writing. Changed attitudes are accompanied by changed behaviors. Some students have overcome the need to write a perfect piece the first time and are ready to revise. Some are learning to interact with other students more successfully. (Peyton et al., 1994, 482)

These ESOL teachers felt strongly that writing workshop is a valuable tool for developing literacy and a centerpiece for a language and literacy curriculum, the researchers concluded.

In a more recent study of third-grade classrooms, researchers documented that teachers strengthened their writing curriculum particularly for English language learners by adopting the workshop approach (Flint & Fisher-Ari, 2014). Making the observation that curriculum standards do not embrace the linguistic and cultural diversity students bring to the classroom, researchers provided professional development in the workshop approach to teachers.



Researchers documented that teachers strengthened their writing curriculum particularly for English language learners by adopting the workshop approach.



Moving from a prescriptive, prompt-based task to a writing workshop pedagogy allowed the teachers to affirm students' diverse experiences and perspectives, strengthening their curriculum. "The commitment of the teachers to embrace the writer's workshop structure and increase writing skills was realized as the students, particularly the English language learners, learned how to move from a 'small idea' in the writer's notebook to sharing a final composition with classmates and parents" (Flint & Fisher-Ari, 2014, 645). Importantly, students' enthusiasm for writing and sharing their writing grew as well.

Similarly, writing workshop approaches have been used successfully with students having a range of intellectual skills: one study conducted an experimental writing workshop in a mixed classroom of students and found that the low-achieving group of students had greater gains in their writing achievement compared to typically developing students, measured by the six traits of writing rubric after nine weeks of workshop (James, Abbott, & Greenwood, 2001). By the end of the intervention, both groups of students had similar scores. Cultural, linguistic, and intellectual diversity is not only accommodated but is used as a foundational activity in writing workshops, which allows teachers to see their students as possessing a diverse array of resources and experiences reflected in their writing.

Writing Workshops Reflect and Support Diverse Voices with Mentor Texts

Within the writing workshop, teachers use mentor texts—authentic literature that students read as writers—as touchstones for helping individual students remember and mimic the constructions they found affecting (Shubitz & Dorfman, 2019). Using what Graham and Perin (2007) call "the study of models"—identified in the *Writing Next* study as a key driver of writing achievement—helps the writing workshop become a dialogue between students and these literary texts. In an important early study, Corden (2007) posited that children could develop their knowledge of how texts are crafted by accomplished authors and then use this knowledge during writing workshops to improve their writing quality (5). The results of the study were remarkable:

We found that with support from teachers providing models, demonstrating and drawing attention to the features of mentor texts, and through focused group discussion, children began to develop their awareness of how texts are constructed. One of the most striking features to emerge from our work was the way children gradually developed a metalanguage and were able to use it effectively when discussing their own texts. The use of specific literary terms helped children to clarify their thoughts, identify issues, and engage in lucid, informed discussion. They were able to

The minilessons can incorporate all types of strategies (such as using an organizer to plan a story), and teachers often read a mentor text aloud to talk about subjects and techniques. Elementary students use daily writing time for journaling, drafting a new piece, revising an existing story, and conferring with their peers. Teachers circulate around the room to confer with students who want help or feedback. Most workshops end with sharing, often with the whole class when a student reads from the “author’s chair” or sometimes in small groups.

Along with structuring the classroom writing workshop, minilessons help both typically developing students and learning-disabled students learn writing skills. In one study, grade 6 students with learning disabilities participated in minilessons about adverbs and possessives; the researchers found a measurable, positive effect of whole-group minilessons on the struggling students (Dowis & Schloss, 1992). Minilessons can bring grammar, usage, and vocabulary assessment standards into the writing workshop in a way that makes sense: at the point a student needs to learn them.

Evidence exists that the structured writing workshop can be successful even with the youngest learners.

.....

Researchers found a measurable, positive effect of whole-group minilessons on the struggling students.

.....

In a prekindergarten classroom, King (2012) found that with some modifications to account for students’ attention spans and their rudimentary skills in forming letters, students eagerly participate in writing workshop.

As students began to understand journal time as a predictable classroom routine where all forms of and attempts at writing were accepted, they began to experiment and play at writing. And through this experimentation, student understanding of writing became increasingly sophisticated. (King, 2012, 395)

Starting with daily journal time as a structured activity, King then added sharing time and conferencing as a predictable part of the daily writing

activities. She concluded that “writing workshop in the preschool classroom can provide a bridge between writing as play and writing as a formal, conventionalized task” (401). Another study of kindergartners showed they could follow the predictable format of writing workshops and even pick up their prior day’s writing to continue (Brown, 2010). At any level, predictable routines like those of writing workshops help students move from one activity to the next and feel comfortable within blocks of instruction.

Writing Workshops Help Students Prepare for Assessment

Research on writing workshops supports their use to help students prepare for and succeed at high-stakes assessment. Kramer-Vida, Levitt, and Kelly (2012) argue that writing workshops have true value in the context of high-stakes tests. As a process-based approach, writing workshops help students learn and practice techniques such as planning and revising that serve them in formal assessment contexts. Miller and Higgins (2008) write that “process-oriented instruction, such as Writing Workshop, has as key elements ‘the human act of composing’ and ‘the human gesture of response,’ which allow students to meet requirements for any writing purposes they may encounter, including writing tests” (126).

.....

As a process-based approach, writing workshops help students learn and practice techniques such as planning and revising that serve them in formal assessment contexts.

.....

As Shubitz and Dorfman point out, “How can we expect students to produce high-quality writing in the classroom and on standardized test writing prompts without establishing extensive, automatized writing routines that give students the models to imitate, time to create and revise, tools to use, and opportunities to choose writing topics they care about?” (2019, 36)

Conclusion

Evidence from a variety of academic specialties shows that structured writing workshops work as a robust practice that helps students increase their writing achievement as well as their comfort and confidence in writing. Writing workshops focus on the student's development of an identity as a writer within a writing community, encouraging trust and

independence while also allowing unique voices and experiences. They offer students the opportunity to study authentic writing models in the form of mentor texts, and they allow teachers to manage their classrooms effectively, find opportunities for differentiated instruction, and prepare students for high-stakes writing assessment.



Works Cited

- Baker, W. D. (2005). "Layers and layers" of teaching writers' workshop: A response to Katie Wood Ray's "The Writing Workshop." *Pedagogy*, 5(2), 348–352.
- Biancarosa, G., & Snow, C. (2004). *Reading next—A vision for action and research in middle and high school literacy: A report to Carnegie Corporation of New York*. Washington, DC: Alliance for Excellence in Education.
- Brown, K. (2010, January). Young authors: Writing workshop in kindergarten. *Young Children*, 65(1), 24–28.
- Carroll, S., & Feng, J. (2010). Writer's Workshop vs. Writing Prompts: The Effect on First Graders' Writing Ability and Attitude towards Writing. Paper presented at the Annual Conference of Georgia Educational Research Association, Savannah, GA.
- Corden, R. (2007). Developing reading–writing connections: The impact of explicit instruction of literary devices on the quality of children's narrative writing. *Journal of Research in Childhood Education* 21(3), 269–289.
- De Smedt, F., Van Keer, H., & Merchie, E. (2016). Student, teacher, and class-level correlates of Flemish late elementary school children's writing performance. *Reading & Writing: An Interdisciplinary Journal*, 29, 833–868.
- Disenhaus, N. (2015). *Boys, writing, and the literacy gender gap: What we know, what we think we know*. Graduate College Dissertations and Theses. 330. Available at <https://scholarworks.uvm.edu/graddis/330>
- Dowis, C. L., & Schloss, P. (1992). The impact of mini-lessons on writing skills. *Remedial and Special Education*, 13(5), 34–42. <https://doi.org/10.1177/074193259201300506>
- Elbow, P. (1973). *Writing without teachers*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Flint, A. S., & Fisher-Ari, T. R. (2014). Writing their worlds: Young English language learners navigate writing workshop. *Writing & Pedagogy*, 6(3), 633–648.
- Flower, L., & Hayes, J. R. (1981). A cognitive process theory of writing. *College Communication and Composition*, 32(4), 365–387.
- Gericke, N. J., & Salmon, L. G. (2014). Digging deeper into the culture of writing: Do mentor texts inspire male students to write? *Networks: An Online Journal for Teacher Research*, 15(2), article 6.
- Graham, S. (2019). Changing how writing is taught. *Review of Research in Education*, 43, 277–303. doi: 10.3102/0091732X18821125
- Graham, S., & Herbert, M. A. (2010). *Writing to read: Evidence for how writing can improve reading (A Carnegie Corporation Time to Act Report)*. Washington, DC: Alliance for Excellent Education.
- Graham, S., & Perin, D. (2007). *Writing next: Effective strategies to improve writing of adolescents in middle and high schools—A report to Carnegie Corporation of New York*. Washington, DC: Alliance for Excellent Education.
- Graham, S., Berninger, V., & Fan, W. (2007). The structural relationship between writing attitude and writing achievement in first and third grade students. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 32, 516–536.
- Graham, S., Bollinger, A., Booth Olson, C., D'Aoust, C., MacArthur, C., McCutchen, D., & Olinghouse, N. (2012). *Teaching elementary school students to be effective writers: A practice guide*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance.

- Graves, D. H. (1982). *A case study observing the development of primary children's composing, spelling, and motor behaviors during the writing process. Final Report, September 1, 1978-August 31, 1981.* Washington, DC: National Institute of Education.
- Graves, D. H. (1983). *Writing: Teachers and children at work.* Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann Educational Books.
- Hachem, A., Nabhani, M., & Bahous, R. (2008). "We can write!" The writing workshop for young learners. *Education 3-13*, 36(4), 325-337.
- James, L. A., Abbott, M., & Greenwood, C. (2001). How Adam became a writer: Winning writing strategies for low-achieving students. *Teaching Exceptional Children*, 33(3), 30-37.
- Jasmine, J., & Weiner, W. (2007). The Effects of Writing Workshop on Abilities of First Grade Students to Become Confident and Independent Writers. *Early Childhood Education Journal*, Vol. 35, No. 2, 131-139.
- Kaiser, E. (2013, Summer). Contextualized support for urban teachers implementing writer's workshop. *Critical Questions in Education*, 4(3), 213-224.
- Kaminski, R., Hunt-Barron, S., Hawkins, D., & Williams, H. (2010). Evaluating projectWRITE: Determining the impact of a professional development program focusing on a writing workshop approach and the traits of quality writing. Retrieved from https://www.nwp.org/cs/public/download/nwp_file/15445/LSRI_Evaluating_Project_Write_2008_2009_Upstate_Report.pdf?x-r=pcfile_d
- King, K. A. (2012). Writing workshop in preschool: Acknowledging children as writers. *The Reading Teacher*, 65(6), 392-401.
- Kramer-Vida, L., Levitt, R., & Kelly, S. P. (2012). Kindergarten is more than ready for the Common Core State Standards. *Language Arts*, 90(2), 93-109.
- Laursen, H. P., & Fabrin, L. (2013). Children investigating literacy. *Linguistics and Education*, 24, 441-453.
- Leigh, S. Rebecca. (2015). "I'm a writer. But I'm an artist, too. Look at my artist's notebook": Developing voice through art and language. *Journal for Learning Through the Arts* 11(1). Available from <https://doi.org/10.21977/D911112204>.
- Loeper, R. (2014, Spring). Combat sports bloggers, mad scientist poets, and comic scriptwriters: Engaging boys in writing on their own terms. *Afterschool Matters*, 19, 36-43.
- Magalas, L., & Ryan, T. G. (2016). A new rendition of an old classic: The Young Writers Program as a writing workshop. *International Journal of Progressive Education*, 12(2), 7-22.
- Miller, M., & Higgins, B. (2008, Spring). Beyond test preparation: Nurturing successful learners through reading and writing workshops. *Kappa Delta Pi Record*, 44(3), 124-127.
- Muhammad, G. E. (2015). The role of literary mentors in writing development: How African American women's literature supported the writings of adolescent girls. *Journal of Education*, 195(2), 5-14.
- Peyton, J., Jones, C., Vincent, A., & Greenblatt, L. (1994). Implementing writing workshop with ESOL students: Visions and realities. *TESOL Quarterly*, 28(3), 469-487.
- Rosaen, C. L., & Hazelwood, C. (1993). *Creating a writing community: Revising collaborative goals, roles, and actions* (Elementary Subjects Center Series No. 85). East Lansing, MI: Center for the Learning and Teaching of Elementary Subjects.
- Seban, D., & Tavsanlı, Ö. F. (2015). Children's sense of being a writer: identity construction in second grade writers workshop. *International Electronic Journal of Elementary Education*, 7(2), 217-234.
- Sharpe, J. (2010). The writing workshop: A valuable tool for differentiation and formative assessment [guest blog]. *Edutopia*. Available from <https://www.edutopia.org/blog/writing-workshop-differentiated-instruction-formative-assessment>
- Shea, M. (2015). Differentiating writing instruction: Meeting the diverse needs of authors in the classroom. *Journal of Inquiry & Action in Education*, 6(2), 80-118.
- Shubitz, S., & Dorfman, L. R. (2019). *Welcome to writing workshop: Engaging today's students with a model that works.* Portsmouth, NH: Stenhouse.
- Sims Bishop, R. (1990). Mirrors, windows, and sliding glass doors. *Perspectives*, 1(3), ix-xi.
- Tropp Laman, T. (2011). The functions of talk within a 4th-grade writing workshop: Insights into understanding. *Journal of Research in Childhood Education*, 25(2), 133-144.
- Wagner, L., Nott, J. G., & Agnew, A. T. (2001). The nuts and bolts of teaching first grade writing through journal workshop. *The Reading Teacher*, 55, 120-125.

